arXiv:1207.3311v1 [hep-ph] 13 Jul 2012

Magnetic moments of the $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ resonances, and low-lying negative parity baryons

Neetika Sharma[1], A. Martínez Torres[2], K. P. Khemchandani[2], and Harleen Dahiya[1]

[1]*Department of Physics, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar National*
Institute Of Technology, Jalandhar-144011, India.
[2]*Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo,*
C.P 66318, 05314-970 São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

(Dated: July 16, 2012)

Abstract

The magnetic moments of the negative parity $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ resonances have been calculated within the framework of the chiral constituent quark model. The explicit contributions coming from the spin and orbital angular momentum, including the effects of the configurations mixing between the states $|N^2P_{1/2}\rangle$ and $|N^4P_{1/2}\rangle$, are obtained. The calculations have been further extended to determine the magnetic moment of the low-lying negative parity octet baryons. Since the chiral quark model incorporates the constituent quarks and Goldstone bosons as effective degrees of freedom, the effect of the presence of the meson cloud has also been discussed. Further, when the contributions of the "quark sea" to the spin and orbital angular momentum are added, we find interesting results.

I. INTRODUCTION

Scrutinizing the structure and the properties of light hadrons is a key to understanding the mechanism of the strong interactions at low energies. The very feature of the confinement of the theory of the strong interactions makes it difficult to access the underlying physics at the low and intermediate energies. However, continuous efforts are being made to investigate this energy region and a lot of important information is being made available through theoretical and experimental studies. One of the most widely accepted phenomena occurring at these energies is that the hadrons become the effective degrees of freedom and the relevant dynamics is governed by the chiral symmetry and its spontaneous breaking. This information has been applied to build a quark model, the chiral quark model [1], which facilitates the calculations of the hadron properties from a theory based on the symmetries of the quantum chromodynamics (QCD). In this work, we use this model to investigate the magnetic moments of the low-lying, spin-parity $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ octet resonances. A lot of work has been done to understand the structure, masses, widths and decay properties of the baryon resonances (see, for example, Refs. [2–10] for different recent approaches studying light baryon resonances), however, although some studies have been dedicated to obtain their electromagnetic properties [11–16], not much attention has been paid to this latter subject. Such an information can be very useful in revealing the quantum mechanical properties of the resonances like their spin structure. This information can be straight forwardly obtained when using a quark model whose reliability is affirmed by including the chiral symmetry while building the formalism and the model in turn can also be useful to trace the quark degrees of freedom at low energies.

From the quark model studies, it is known that the magnetic moment of the low-lying spin $\frac{1}{2}^+$ baryons receives contributions not only from the valence quarks, but also from many other effects, such as the quark sea, the orbital angular momentum, relativistic effects, the meson cloud effect, etc. [17, 18]. Also they are relatively well-known both experimentally and theoretically [19]. However, as mentioned above, little is known about their low-lying spin $\frac{1}{2}^-$ counterparts. Negative parity partners of the octet baryons with spin $\frac{1}{2}$ can be understood in a quark model as those arising from the excitation of one unit of the orbital angular momentum, and their mass splittings can be traced to the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry of QCD [20–22]. The study of the magnetic moment of the nucleon and its excited spectrum including the $S_{11}(1535)$ N^* resonance, the lightest lying $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ nucleon resonance, provides valuable insight into the nonperturbative aspects of QCD. Thus, in this context too, it would be interesting to examine the QCD predictions for the negative parity states.

There are several known baryonic states, but the experimental investigation of the excited baryon spectrum has been long awaited, however the interest in the same seems to grow rapidly in recent times [23]. The magnetic moment of the nucleon resonances can be extracted through the bremsstrahlung processes. The measurement of the magnetic moment of $\Delta^{++}(1232)$ was carried out by studying the process $\pi^+ p \to \gamma \pi^+ p$ [19]. Moreover, a new experiment to study the $\gamma p \to \gamma \pi^0 p$ reaction has been made recently by the A2/TAPS collaboration at MAMI [24]. For the $S_{11}(1535)$ resonance, it is being proposed that its magnetic moment can be extracted by investigating the process of $\gamma N \to \gamma \eta N$ and $\pi^- p \to \gamma \eta n$ [13, 14]. Since this resonance strongly couples to the ηN channel, the η meson in the final state can be regarded as a probe of the $S_{11}(1535)$ resonance in the intermediate state.

Presently, the theoretical studies of the magnetic moments of the spin $\frac{1}{2}^-$ baryons are based on simple quark models [13], effective Lagrangian approaches [12, 14], chiral constituent quark model [15], and lattice QCD [16]. Since the past decade, an extensive program exists to collect data on electromagnetic production of one and two mesons at Jefferson Lab, MIT-Bates, LEGS, MAMI, ELSA, and GRAAL [23]. To analyze these data, and thereby refine our knowledge of the baryon spectrum, a variety of physics analysis models have been developed. The experimental efforts outlined above should be complemented by high-quality ab initio computations in the phenomenological models which include lattice QCD.

In the low energy regime, the chiral constituent quark model (χCQM) [25] successfully explains the “Proton spin crisis” and other related properties [26–29]. The model has been further extended to calculate the octet and decuplet baryon magnetic moments incorporating the sea quark polarizations and their orbital angular momentum contribution through the generalized Cheng-Li mechanism [18]. Recently, the model has been extended to calculate the magnetic moment of the charmed baryons with spin $\frac{1}{2}^+$, $\frac{3}{2}^+$ and the transitions $\frac{3}{2}^+ \to \frac{1}{2}^+$, $\frac{1}{2}^+ \to \frac{1}{2}^+$ [30]. Keeping in view the successes of the χCQM, it is instructive to extend the applicability of the model to study the magnetic moments of the negative parity low-lying nucleon resonances and octet baryons with unit orbital angular momentum. In fact, a calculation of the magnetic moments of the spin $\frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{3}{2}^-$ N^*'s has been done in Ref. [15]

within the χCQM. As we will discuss in the subsequent sections in detail, we do a more refined calculation for N^*'s by including the Cheng-Li mechanism and further extend the whole calculation for the first excited states of all the $\frac{1}{2}^+$ octet baryons.

To fulfill the purpose of the present article we first formulate in detail the magnetic moments of the negative parity N^* resonances ($S_{11}^+(1535)$, $S_{11}^0(1535)$, $S_{11}^+(1650)$, and $S_{11}^0(1650)$). In Sec. II, the explicit contribution of the spin and orbital angular momentum of the magnetic moment for the above mentioned resonances are calculated in the nonrelativistic quark model. Further, the generalized Cheng-Li mechanism has been incorporated to calculate explicitly the contribution coming from the valence quarks, quark sea polarization and its orbital angular momentum contribution for the spin part and the valence and sea quarks contribution for the orbital angular momentum part in χCQM. The details of these calculations have been presented in Sec. III. Since the χCQM incorporates the constituent quarks and Goldstone bosons as effective degrees of freedom, it is interesting to examine the effects of the presence of the meson cloud. These calculations have also been presented for the case of the negative parity, low-lying octet baryons. In Sec. IV we discuss our numerical results and Sec. V summarizes our results.

II. MAGNETIC MOMENT OF BARYONS IN THE QUARK MODEL

In the nonrelativistic SU(6) constituent quark model (NCQM), the magnetic moment of the baryon resonances have contribution coming from both quark spin and orbital angular momentum

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_B = \boldsymbol{\mu}_B^{\boldsymbol{S}} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_B^{\boldsymbol{L}} \,, \tag{1}$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_B^{\boldsymbol{S}} = \sum_i \boldsymbol{\mu}_i^{\boldsymbol{s}} = \sum_i \frac{Q_i}{2m_i}\, \boldsymbol{s}_i \,, \tag{2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_B^{\boldsymbol{L}} = \sum_i \boldsymbol{\mu}_i^{\boldsymbol{l}} = \sum_i \frac{Q_i}{2m_i}\, \boldsymbol{l}_i \,, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{s_i}$ and $\mathbf{l_i}$ are the spin and orbital angular momentum of the ith quark and the index i is summed over three quarks. The orbital angular momentum part vanishes for ground state baryons on account of the absence of orbital excitation. If $|B\rangle$ is the given baryon's

SU(6) spin-flavor wavefunction, then we have

$$\langle B|\mu^S|B\rangle = \Delta u\mu_u + \Delta d\mu_d + \Delta s\mu_s\,, \tag{4}$$

$$\langle B|\mu^L|B\rangle = \Delta u^{(1)}\mu_u + \Delta d^{(1)}\mu_d + \Delta s^{(1)}\mu_s\,. \tag{5}$$

Here, $\mu_q = \frac{e_q}{2M_q}$ $(q = u, d, s)$ is the quark magnetic moment, e_q and M_q are the electric charge and mass of the q quark, respectively. The polarizations corresponding to the spin and orbital angular momentum, $\Delta q = q^\uparrow - q^\downarrow$ and $\Delta q^{(1)} = q^{(1)} - q^{(-1)}$, can be calculated as

$$\widehat{B} \equiv \langle B|\mathcal{N}|B\rangle = \langle B|\mathcal{N}^{\uparrow\downarrow} + \mathcal{N}^{(\pm 1)}|B\rangle. \tag{6}$$

In Eq. (6), $\mathcal{N}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{(\pm 1)}$ are the number operators given by

$$\mathcal{N}^{\uparrow\downarrow} = n_{u^\uparrow}u^\uparrow + n_{u^\downarrow}u^\downarrow + n_{d^\uparrow}d^\uparrow + n_{d^\downarrow}d^\downarrow + n_{s^\uparrow}s^\uparrow + n_{s^\downarrow}s^\downarrow\,, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{(\pm 1)} = n_{u^{(1)}}u^{(1)} + n_{u^{(-1)}}u^{(-1)} + n_{d^{(1)}}d^{(1)} + n_{d^{(-1)}}d^{(-1)} + n_{s^{(1)}}s^{(1)} + n_{s^{(-1)}}s^{(-1)}\,, \tag{8}$$

with $n_{q^\uparrow}$ $(n_{q^\downarrow})$ being the number of quarks with spin up (down) and $n_{q^{(1)}}$ $(n_{q^{(-1)}})$ is the number of quarks with the orbital angular momentum projection $m_L = 1$ $(m_L = -1)$.

In this section, we calculate the magnetic moment of the $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ nucleon resonances in the framework of the NCQM and further extend this to the rest of low-lying negative parity octet baryons. The lowest lying negative parity nucleon resonances are $|N^2P_{1/2}\rangle$ and $|N^4P_{1/2}\rangle$, where the usual spectroscopic notation ${}^{2S+1}L_J$ is used to indicate their total quark spin $S = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ $(2S+1 = 2, 4)$, orbital angular momentum $L = 1$ (P-wave), and total angular momentum $J = \frac{1}{2}$ [31]. The spin angular momentum $S = \frac{1}{2}$ couples with the orbital angular momentum $L = 1$ to give the total angular momentum $J = \frac{1}{2}$ and $J = \frac{3}{2}$. The wavefunctions of the $|N^2P_{1/2}\rangle$ and $|N^4P_{1/2}\rangle$ states are explicitly given as

$$\begin{aligned}|N^2P_{1/2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{m_l m_s}\langle 1\ \frac{1}{2}\ \ m_l\ m_s|\frac{1}{2}\ \frac{1}{2}\rangle\Big\{&\psi^\rho_{1m_l}\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\chi^\lambda_{m_s}\,\phi^\rho + \chi^\rho_{m_s}\,\phi^\lambda\big)\Big] \\ &+\psi^\lambda_{1m_l}\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\chi^\rho_{m_s}\,\phi^\rho - \chi^\lambda_{m_s}\,\phi^\lambda\big)\Big]\Big\}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$|N^4P_{1/2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{m_l m_s}\langle 1\,\frac{3}{2}\,m_l\ m_s\,|\,\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{1}{2}\,\rangle\Big[\,\psi^\rho_{1m_l}\,\chi^s_{m_s}\,\phi^\rho + \,\psi^\lambda_{1m_l}\,\chi^s_{m_s}\,\phi^\lambda\,\Big]\,, \tag{10}$$

where ψ, χ, and ϕ denote the spatial, spin, and flavor wavefunctions. The superscripts s or ρ (λ) indicate that they are totally symmetric among three quarks, or odd (even) under the exchange of the first two quarks [32].

The physical eigenstates for the $L = 1$ negative parity resonances, are linear combinations of these two and are expressed as

$$|S_{11}(1535)\rangle = \cos\theta|N^2P_{1/2}\rangle - \sin\theta|N^4P_{1/2}\rangle\,, \tag{11}$$

$$|S_{11}(1650)\rangle = \sin\theta|N^2P_{1/2}\rangle + \cos\theta|N^4P_{1/2}\rangle\,. \tag{12}$$

Now, the magnetic moments of the $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ resonances can be expressed in terms of the magnetic moments of the $|N^2P_{1/2}\rangle$ and $|N^4P_{1/2}\rangle$ states and their cross terms as

$$\mu_{S_{11}(1535)} = \mu_{N^2P_{1/2}}\cos^2\theta + \mu_{N^4P_{1/2}}\sin^2\theta - 2\,\langle\, N^2P_{1/2}\,|\mu_z|\, N^4P_{1/2}\,\rangle\cos\theta\sin\theta\,, \tag{13}$$

$$\mu_{S_{11}(1650)} = \mu_{N^2P_{1/2}}\sin^2\theta + \mu_{N^4P_{1/2}}\cos^2\theta + 2\,\langle\, N^2P_{1/2}\,|\mu_z|\, N^4P_{1/2}\,\rangle\cos\theta\sin\theta\,. \tag{14}$$

The value of the mixing angle θ depends on the quark interaction. Assuming a hyperfine interaction between the quarks, Isgur and Karl [32] predicted a mixing angle $\theta = \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{5}-1)/2 \simeq -31.7^\circ$, which is close to the empirical mixing angle $\theta \simeq -32^\circ$ found in Ref. [33].

Since the magnetic moment has contribution coming from both spin and orbital angular momentum as expressed in Eqs. (2) and (3), we now present the matrix elements for quark spin and orbital angular momentum contributions of the magnetic moments of the states $|N^2P_{1/2}\rangle$ and $|N^4P_{1/2}\rangle$ and the cross terms obtained from mixing the $|N^2P_{1/2}\rangle$ and $|N^4P_{1/2}\rangle$ states.

It is easy to obtain the spin structure of the baryons as expressed in Eq. (6)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N^2P^+_{1/2}|\mathcal{N}|N^2P^+_{1/2}\rangle &= \frac{8}{9}u^\uparrow + \frac{10}{9}u^\downarrow + \frac{4}{9}d^\uparrow + \frac{5}{9}d^\downarrow + \frac{4}{9}u^{(1)} + \frac{2}{9}d^{(1)}\,,\\
\langle N^2P^0_{1/2}|\mathcal{N}|N^2P^0_{1/2}\rangle &= \frac{4}{9}u^\uparrow + \frac{5}{9}u^\downarrow + \frac{8}{9}d^\uparrow + \frac{10}{9}d^\downarrow + \frac{2}{9}u^{(1)} + \frac{4}{9}d^{(1)}\,,\\
\langle N^4P^+_{1/2}|\mathcal{N}|N^4P^+_{1/2}\rangle &= \frac{14}{9}u^\uparrow + \frac{4}{9}u^\downarrow + \frac{7}{9}d^\uparrow + \frac{2}{9}d^\downarrow + \frac{1}{18}u^{(1)} + \frac{1}{6}u^{(-1)} + \frac{1}{9}d^{(1)} + \frac{1}{3}d^{(-1)}\,,\\
\langle N^4P^0_{1/2}|\mathcal{N}|N^4P^0_{1/2}\rangle &= \frac{7}{9}u^\uparrow + \frac{2}{9}u^\downarrow + \frac{14}{9}d^\uparrow + \frac{4}{9}d^\downarrow + \frac{1}{9}u^{(1)} + \frac{1}{3}u^{(-1)} + \frac{1}{18}d^{(1)} + \frac{1}{6}d^{(-1)}\,,\\
\langle N^2P^+_{1/2}|\mathcal{N}|N^4P^+_{1/2}\rangle &= \frac{2}{9}u^\uparrow - \frac{2}{9}u^\downarrow - \frac{2}{9}d^\uparrow + \frac{2}{9}d^\downarrow\,,\\
\langle N^2P^0_{1/2}|\mathcal{N}|N^4P^0_{1/2}\rangle &= -\frac{2}{9}u^\uparrow + \frac{2}{9}u^\downarrow + \frac{2}{9}d^\uparrow - \frac{2}{9}d^\downarrow\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Here, the superscripts + and 0 denote the charge of the resonance state. It is important to mention at this point that there are no cross terms for μ_z^L because $|N^2P_{1/2}\rangle$ and $|N^4P_{1/2}\rangle$

have orthogonal quark spin states which are not affected by μ_z^L. Using Eqs. (4) and (5) the magnetic moment of the $|N^2P^+_{1/2}\rangle$, $|N^4P^+_{1/2}\rangle$, and the cross terms can be expressed as

$$\mu_{N^2P^+_{1/2}} = -\frac{2}{9}\mu_u - \frac{1}{9}\mu_d + \frac{4}{9}\mu_u + \frac{2}{9}\mu_d\,, \tag{16}$$

$$\mu_{N^2P^0_{1/2}} = -\frac{1}{9}\mu_u - \frac{2}{9}\mu_d + \frac{2}{9}\mu_u + \frac{4}{9}\mu_d\,, \tag{17}$$

$$\mu_{N^4P^+_{1/2}} = \frac{10}{9}\mu_u + \frac{5}{9}\mu_d - \frac{1}{9}\mu_u - \frac{2}{9}\mu_d\,, \tag{18}$$

$$\mu_{N^4P^0_{1/2}} = \frac{5}{9}\mu_u + \frac{10}{9}\mu_d - \frac{2}{9}\mu_u - \frac{1}{9}\mu_d\,. \tag{19}$$

$$\langle N^4P^+_{1/2}|\mu_z^S|N^2P^+_{1/2}\rangle = \frac{4}{9}\mu_u - \frac{4}{9}\mu_d\,, \tag{20}$$

$$\langle\, N^4P^0_{1/2}|\mu_z^S|N^2P^0_{1/2}\rangle = -\frac{4}{9}\mu_u + \frac{4}{9}\mu_d\,. \tag{21}$$

Using Eqs. (13) and (14), the magnetic moment of the $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ states in the NCQM are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{S^+_{11}(1535)} = {} & \left(-\frac{2}{9}\mu_u - \frac{1}{9}\mu_d + \frac{4}{9}\mu_u + \frac{2}{9}\mu_d\right)\cos^2\theta + \left(\frac{10}{9}\mu_u + \frac{5}{9}\mu_d\right. \\ & \left.-\frac{1}{9}\mu_u - \frac{2}{9}\mu_d\right)\sin^2\theta - 2\left(\frac{4}{9}\mu_u - \frac{4}{9}\mu_d\right)\cos\theta\sin\theta\,,\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{S^0_{11}(1535)} = {} & \left(-\frac{1}{9}\mu_u - \frac{2}{9}\mu_d + \frac{2}{9}\mu_u + \frac{4}{9}\mu_d\right)\cos^2\theta + \left(\frac{5}{9}\mu_u + \frac{10}{9}\mu_d\right. \\ & \left.-\frac{2}{9}\mu_u - \frac{1}{9}\mu_d\right)\sin^2\theta - 2\left(-\frac{4}{9}\mu_u + \frac{4}{9}\mu_d\right)\cos\theta\sin\theta\,,\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{S^+_{11}(1650)} = {} & \left(-\frac{2}{9}\mu_u - \frac{1}{9}\mu_d + \frac{4}{9}\mu_u + \frac{2}{9}\mu_d\right)\sin^2\theta + \left(\frac{10}{9}\mu_u + \frac{5}{9}\mu_d\right. \\ & \left.-\frac{1}{9}\mu_u - \frac{2}{9}\mu_d\right)\cos^2\theta + 2\left(\frac{4}{9}\mu_u - \frac{4}{9}\mu_d\right)\cos\theta\sin\theta\,,\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{S^0_{11}(1650)} = {} & \left(-\frac{1}{9}\mu_u - \frac{2}{9}\mu_d + \frac{2}{9}\mu_u + \frac{4}{9}\mu_d\right)\sin^2\theta + \left(\frac{5}{9}\mu_u + \frac{10}{9}\mu_d\right. \\ & \left.-\frac{2}{9}\mu_u - \frac{1}{9}\mu_d\right)\cos^2\theta + 2\left(-\frac{4}{9}\mu_u + \frac{4}{9}\mu_d\right)\cos\theta\sin\theta\,.\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Using $m_u = m_d = \frac{1}{3}m_N$, we have $\mu_u = Q_u/2m_u = 2\mu_N$ and $\mu_d = Q_d/2m_d = -\mu_N$. Thus, substituting these values in Eqs. (22)-(25), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{S^+_{11}(1535)} &= \frac{1}{3}\cos^2\theta + \frac{5}{3}\sin^2\theta - \frac{8}{3}\cos\theta\sin\theta && (26)\\
\mu_{S^0_{11}(1535)} &= -\frac{1}{3}\sin^2\theta + \frac{8}{3}\cos\theta\sin\theta && (27)\\
\mu_{S^+_{11}(1651)} &= \frac{5}{3}\cos^2\theta + \frac{1}{3}\sin^2\theta + \frac{8}{3}\cos\theta\sin\theta && (28)\\
\mu_{S^0_{11}(1651)} &= -\frac{1}{3}\cos^2\theta - \frac{8}{3}\cos\theta\sin\theta\,. && (29)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the value of mixing angle $\theta = -31.7^\circ$ in Eqs. (26)-(29), one can obtain the magnetic moments of the N^* resonances $S^+_{11}(1535)$, $S^0_{11}(1535)$, $S^+_{11}(1650)$, and $S^0_{11}(1650)$. The magnetic moment of the other negative parity low-lying baryon resonances with spin $\frac{1}{2}$ can similarly be calculated using their respective wave functions. The results of these calculations are presented and discussed in Section IV.

III. MAGNETIC MOMENT OF BARYONS IN χCQM

The key to understanding the magnetic moment of the baryons χCQM formalism [26] is the fluctuation process

$$q^{\uparrow\downarrow} \rightarrow \mathrm{GB} + q^{'\downarrow\uparrow} \rightarrow (q\bar{q}^{'}) + q^{'\downarrow\uparrow}\,, \tag{30}$$

where GB represents the emitted Goldstone boson and $q\bar{q}^{'} + q^{'}$ constitute the "quark sea" [27, 28]. The effective Lagrangian describing the interaction between quarks and a nonet of GBs can be expressed as $\mathcal{L} = g_8\bar{\mathbf{q}}\left(\Phi + \zeta\frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{3}}I\right)\mathbf{q} = g_8\bar{\mathbf{q}}\left(\Phi'\right)\mathbf{q}$, where $\zeta = g_1/g_8$, g_1 and g_8 are the coupling constants for the singlet and octet GBs, respectively, and I is the 3×3 identity matrix. The matrix of the GBs can be expressed as

$$\Phi' = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \beta\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} + \zeta\frac{\eta^{'}}{\sqrt{3}} & \pi^+ & \alpha K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \beta\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} + \zeta\frac{\eta^{'}}{\sqrt{3}} & \alpha K^0 \\ \alpha K^- & \alpha\bar{K}^0 & -\beta\frac{2\eta}{\sqrt{6}} + \zeta\frac{\eta^{'}}{\sqrt{3}} \end{array}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad q = \left(\begin{array}{c} u \\ d \\ s \end{array}\right). \tag{31}$$

The parameter $a(= |g_8|^2)$ denotes the probability of chiral fluctuation $u(d) \rightarrow d(u) + \pi^{+(-)}$, whereas $\alpha^2 a$, $\beta^2 a$ and $\zeta^2 a$ respectively denote the probabilities of fluctuations $u(d) \rightarrow s + K^{-(0)}$, $u(d,s) \rightarrow u(d,s) + \eta$, and $u(d,s) \rightarrow u(d,s) + \eta^{'}$.

The spin part μ^S of the magnetic moment of a given baryon receives contributions from the valence quarks, sea quarks, and orbital angular momentum of the "quark sea" [18] and is expressed as

$$\mu_B^S = \mu_{\rm val}^S + \mu_{\rm sea}^S + \mu_{\rm orbit}^S\,, \tag{32}$$

where $\mu_{\rm val}^S$ and $\mu_{\rm sea}^S$ represent the contributions of the valence quarks and the sea quarks to the magnetic moments due to the spin polarizations. In addition, there is a significant contribution coming from the orbital angular momentum of the "quark sea", $\mu_{\rm orbit}^S$, since the GB emitted due to the chiral fluctuation is in the P wave state, $\langle l_z \rangle = 1$. The details of the valence quark calculations have already been presented in the previous section. We now present the calculations of the sea and orbital angular momentum contributions.

The sea quark spin polarizations corresponding to each baryon can be obtained by substituting for each valence quark

$$q^{\uparrow(\downarrow)} \to -P_{[q,\ GB]} q^{\uparrow(\downarrow)} + |\psi(q^{\uparrow(\downarrow)})|^2\,, \tag{33}$$

when calculating the spin contribution to the magnetic moment. In Eq. (33), $P_{[q,\ GB]}$ is the probability of emission of GBs from a quark $q^{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$ and $|\psi(q^{\uparrow(\downarrow)})|^2$ is the probability of transforming a $q^{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$ quark [28] given by

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi(u^{\uparrow(\downarrow)})|^2 &= \frac{a}{6}\left(3+\beta^2+2\zeta^2\right) u^{\downarrow(\uparrow)} + a d^{\downarrow(\uparrow)} + a\alpha^2 s^{\downarrow(\uparrow)}\,,\\
|\psi(d^{\uparrow(\downarrow)})|^2 &= a u^{\downarrow(\uparrow)} + \frac{a}{6}\left(3+\beta^2+2\zeta^2\right) d^{\downarrow(\uparrow)} + a\alpha^2 s^{\downarrow(\uparrow)}\,,\\
|\psi(s^{\uparrow(\downarrow)})|^2 &= a\alpha^2 u^{\downarrow(\uparrow)} + a\alpha^2 d^{\downarrow(\uparrow)} + \frac{a}{3}\left(2\beta^2+\zeta^2\right) s^{\downarrow(\uparrow)}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

for the spin up quarks.

The orbital angular momentum contribution of each chiral fluctuation is given as [18, 26]

$$\mu(q^{\uparrow} \to q'^{\downarrow}) = \frac{e_{q'}}{2M_q}\langle l_q \rangle + \frac{e_q - e_{q'}}{2M_{\rm GB}}\langle l_{\rm GB} \rangle\,, \tag{35}$$

where $\langle l_q \rangle = \frac{M_{\rm GB}}{M_q+M_{\rm GB}}$ and $\langle l_{\rm GB} \rangle = \frac{M_q}{M_q+M_{\rm GB}}$. The quantities $(l_q,\ l_{\rm GB})$ and $(M_q,\ M_{\rm GB})$ are the orbital angular momenta and masses of the quarks and GBs, respectively. The orbital moment of each process in Eq. (35) is then multiplied by the probability for such a process to take place to yield the magnetic moment due to all the transitions starting with a given valence quark. For example,

$$[\mu(u_{\uparrow} \to)] = a\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\beta^2}{6}+\frac{\zeta^2}{3}\right)\mu(u_{\uparrow} \to u_{\downarrow}) + \mu(u_{\uparrow} \to d_{\downarrow}) + \alpha^2 \mu(u_{\uparrow} \to s_{\downarrow})\right]\,, \tag{36}$$

$$[\mu(d_\uparrow \to)] = a\left[\mu(d_\uparrow \to u_\downarrow) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta^2}{6} + \frac{\zeta^2}{3}\right)\mu(d_\uparrow \to d_\downarrow) + \alpha^2\mu(d_\uparrow \to s_\downarrow)\right], \tag{37}$$

$$[\mu(s_\uparrow \to)] = a\left[\alpha^2\mu(s_\uparrow \to u_\downarrow) + \alpha^2\mu(s_\uparrow \to d_\downarrow) + \left(\frac{2}{3}\beta^2 + \frac{\zeta^2}{3}\right)\mu(s_\uparrow \to s_\downarrow)\right]. \tag{38}$$

The orbital moments of the u, d, and s quarks in terms of the χCQM parameters $(a, \alpha, \beta, \zeta)$, quark masses (M_u, M_d, M_s) and GB masses $(M_\pi, M_k, M_\eta, M_{\eta'})$, are respectively given as

$$[\mu(u_\uparrow \to)] = a\left[\frac{3M_u^2}{2M_\pi(M_u+M_\pi)} - \frac{\alpha^2(M_K^2-3M_u^2)}{2M_K(M_u+M_K)} + \frac{\beta^2 M_\eta}{6(M_u+M_\eta)} + \frac{\zeta^2 M_{\eta'}}{3(M_u+M_{\eta'})}\right]\mu_u\,, \tag{39}$$

$$[\mu(d_\uparrow \to)] = -2a\left[\frac{3(M_\pi^2-2M_d^2)}{4M_\pi(M_d+M_\pi)} - \frac{\alpha^2 M_K}{2(M_d+M_K)} - \frac{\beta^2 M_\eta}{12(M_d+M_\eta)} - \frac{\zeta^2 M_{\eta'}}{6(M_d+M_{\eta'})}\right]\mu_d\,, \tag{40}$$

$$[\mu(s_\uparrow \to)] = -2a\left[\frac{\alpha^2(M_K^2-3M_s^2)}{2M_K(M_s+M_K)} - \frac{\beta^2 M_\eta}{3(M_s+M_\eta)} - \frac{\zeta^2 M_{\eta'}}{6(M_s+M_{\eta'})}\right]\mu_s\,. \tag{41}$$

Using this formalism, we can calculate explicitly the valence, sea, and orbital contributions to the spin angular momentum of the magnetic moments of the baryons. As an example, the μ^S contribution to the magnetic moment of the $S_{11}^+(1535)$ is given as

$$\mu^S_{\rm val}(S_{11}^+(1535)) = -\left(\frac{2}{9}\mu_u + \frac{1}{9}\mu_d\right)\cos^2\theta + \left(\frac{10}{9}\mu_u + \frac{5}{9}\mu_d\right)\sin^2\theta - \frac{8}{9}\left(\mu_u - \mu_d\right)\sin\theta\cos\theta\,, \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^S_{\rm sea}(S_{11}^+(1535)) = {}& \frac{a}{9}\left[\left(5+2\alpha^2+\frac{2\beta^2}{3}+\frac{4\zeta^2}{3}\right)\mu_u + \left(4+\alpha^2+\frac{\beta^2}{3}+\frac{2\zeta^2}{3}\right)\mu_d + 3\alpha^2\mu_s\right]\cos^2\theta \\ & -\frac{5a}{9}\left[\left(5+2\alpha^2+\frac{2\beta^2}{3}+\frac{4\zeta^2}{3}\right)\mu_u + \left(4+\alpha^2+\frac{\beta^2}{3}+\frac{2\zeta^2}{3}\right)\mu_d + 3\alpha^2\mu_s\right]\sin^2\theta \\ & +\frac{8a}{9}\left(1+\alpha^2+\frac{\beta^2}{3}+\frac{2\zeta^2}{3}\right)(\mu_u-\mu_d)\sin\theta\cos\theta\,, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^S_{\rm orbit}(S_{11}^+(1535)) = {}& -\left(\frac{2}{9}\mu(u_\uparrow \to) + \frac{1}{9}\mu(d_\uparrow \to)\right)\cos^2\theta + \left(\frac{10}{9}\mu(u_\uparrow \to) + \frac{5}{9}\mu(d_\uparrow \to)\right)\sin^2\theta \\ & -\frac{8}{9}\left(\mu(u_\uparrow \to) - \mu(d_\uparrow \to)\right)\sin\theta\cos\theta\,. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The orbital angular momentum contribution μ^L to the magnetic moment of a given baryon receives contributions from the valence and sea quarks as

$$\mu^L_B = \mu^L_{\rm val} + \mu^L_{\rm sea}\,, \tag{45}$$

where $\mu^L_{\rm val}$ and $\mu^L_{\rm sea}$ represent the contributions of the valence and sea quarks to the magnetic moments due to the orbital angular momentum polarizations. The details of the valence quark calculations have already been presented in the previous section, whereas the sea quark spin polarizations corresponding to each baryon can be calculated by substituting for each valence quark with the third component of the orbital angular momentum ±1

$$q^{(\pm 1)} \rightarrow -T_{[q,\ GB]} q^{(\pm 1)} + |\psi(q^{(\pm 1)})|^2 \,, \tag{46}$$

where $T_{[q,\ GB]}$ is the probability of emission of GBs from a quark $q^{(\pm 1)}$ and $|\psi(q^{(\pm 1)})|^2$ is the probability of transforming a $q^{(\pm 1)}$ quark, given as

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi(u^{(\pm 1)})|^2 &= a d^{(\pm 1)} + a\alpha^2 s^{(\pm 1)} \,, \\
|\psi(d^{(\pm 1)})|^2 &= a u^{(\pm 1)} + a\alpha^2 s^{(\pm 1)} \,, \\
|\psi(s^{(\pm 1)})|^2 &= a\alpha^2 u^{(\pm 1)} + a\alpha^2 d^{(\pm 1)} \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

One can calculate the valence and sea contributions of the orbital angular momentum to the magnetic moment of the baryons. As an example, the μ^L contribution to the magnetic moment of $S_{11}^+(1535)$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^L_{\text{val}}(S_{11}^+(1535)) &= \left(\frac{4}{9}\mu_u + \frac{2}{9}\mu_d\right)\cos^2\theta - \left(\frac{1}{9}\mu_u + \frac{2}{9}\mu_d\right)\sin^2\theta \,, \\
\mu^L_{\text{sea}}(S_{11}^+(1535)) &= \frac{2a}{9}\left[-\left(1+2\alpha^2\right)\mu_u + \left(1-\alpha^2\right)\mu_d + 3\alpha^2\mu_s\right]\cos^2\theta \\
&\quad + \frac{a}{9}\left[-\left(1-\alpha^2\right)\mu_u + \left(1+2\alpha^2\right)\mu_d - 3\alpha^2\mu_s\right]\sin^2\theta \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The magnetic moments of the other N^* resonances $S_{11}^0(1535)$, $S_{11}^+(1650)$, and $S_{11}^0(1650)$ can also be calculated in χCQM using similar methodology. The calculations have also been extended for the magnetic moments of the first excited states (with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$) of the other baryons of the $\frac{1}{2}^+$ octet. All these results are discussed in detail in the next section.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we first discuss the various input parameters needed for the numeric calculation of the magnetic moment for the low-lying $\frac{1}{2}^-$ baryons. The calculation of the magnetic moments in the χCQM with $SU(3)$ broken symmetry requires the symmetry breaking parameters a, $a\alpha^2$, $a\beta^2$, and $a\zeta^2$, representing, respectively, the probabilities of fluctuations of a constituent quark into pions, kaons, η, and η'. The best fit to the set of parameters obtained by carrying out a fine grained analysis of the spin and flavor distribution functions of proton [18, 28, 30] gives

$$a = 0.12 \,, \quad \alpha = \beta = 0.45 \,, \quad \zeta = -0.15 \,.$$

In addition to the parameters of the χCQM, the contributions to the orbital angular momentum due to the quark sea are characterized by the quark and GB masses. For evaluating their contribution, we have used their on shell mass values in accordance with other similar calculations [18]. For the constituent quark masses u, d, s, we have used their widely accepted values in the hadron spectroscopy $M_u = M_d = 0.33$ GeV, and $M_s = 0.51$ GeV.

Using the present formalism, we have calculated the magnetic moments of the negative parity N^* resonances $S_{11}^+(1535)$, $S_{11}^0(1535)$, $S_{11}^+(1650)$, and $S_{11}^0(1650)$ states and the results are presented in Table I. In Table II, we show the results for the magnetic moments of the low-lying $\frac{1}{2}^-$ octet baryon resonances.

TABLE I. Magnetic moments of the low-lying N^* resonances with $J = \frac{1}{2}$ (in units of nuclear magneton)

Baryons	Mass	NCQM	χCQM							
	(MeV)		μ^S				μ^L			$\mu_B(=\mu_B^S + \mu_B^L)$
			μ^S_{val}	μ^S_{sea}	μ^S_{orbit}	μ^S_B	μ^L_{val}	μ^L_{sea}	μ^L_B	
S_{11}^+	1535	1.894	1.411	−0.249	0.530	1.692	0.483	−0.090	0.393	2.085
S_{11}^0	1535	−1.284	−1.192	0.167	−0.519	−1.545	−0.092	0.067	−0.025	−1.570
S_{11}^+	1650	0.106	−0.078	−0.108	−0.229	−0.414	0.184	−0.057	0.128	−0.286
S_{11}^0	1650	0.951	1.192	−0.312	0.283	1.165	−0.242	0.060	− 0.181	0.984

The explicit results for the valence, sea, and orbital contributions of the spin part and the valence and sea contributions of the orbital angular momentum part of the magnetic moments are shown in these tables. For the sake of completeness, we have also given the results in the NCQM obtained by summing the contributions from the spin and orbital angular momentum of the valence quarks.

A cursory look at Table I reveals that the magnitude of the magnetic moment is higher in the χCQM when compared to the NCQM predictions. Overall, the valence structure of the quarks dominates except for the case of $S_{11}^+(1650)$, where the contribution of the orbital angular momentum of the quark sea is significant. One also observes that the orbital contribution to the spin part has the same sign as the one coming from the valence quarks, while the sea part contributes with the opposite sign except for the case of $S_{11}^+(1650)$ where the valence, sea and the orbital contributions have the same sign. For the orbital angular

TABLE II. Magnetic moments of the first excited states (with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$) of the octet baryons (in units of nuclear magneton)

Baryons	Mass (MeV)	NCQM	χCQM							
			$\mu^S(B)$				$\mu^L(B)$			$\mu_B(=\mu_B^S+\mu_B^L)$
			$\mu^S_{\rm val}$	$\mu^S_{\rm sea}$	$\mu^S_{\rm orbit}$	μ^S_B	$\mu^L_{\rm val}$	$\mu^L_{\rm sea}$	μ^L_B	
p^*	1535	1.894	1.411	−0.249	0.530	1.692	0.483	−0.090	0.393	2.085
n^*	1535	−1.284	−1.192	0.167	−0.519	−1.544	−0.092	0.067	−0.025	−1.569
Σ^{*+}	1620	1.814	1.297	−0.242	0.349	1.404	0.518	−0.122	0.396	1.800
Σ^{*-}	1620	−0.689	−0.333	0.018	−0.453	−0.768	−0.355	0.117	−0.239	−1.007
Σ^{*0}	1620	0.820	0.739	−0.112	0.086	0.713	0.081	−0.003	0.078	0.791
Ξ^{*-}	1690	−0.315	−0.027	0.017	0.078	0.068	−0.288	0.055	−0.233	−0.165
Ξ^{*0}	1690	−0.990	−1.00	−0.209	−0.217	−1.426	0.011	−0.027	−0.016	−1.442

momentum part the sea quarks contributes with an opposite sign to that from the valence quark distribution. This is true for all the cases.

Further, Table II shows that the magnitude of the magnetic moments of Σ^{*-} and Ξ^{*0}, in addition to the N^*'s, are higher in χCQM when compared with NCQM predictions whereas, opposite result is found in the case of Σ^{*+}, Σ^{*0}, and Ξ^{*-}. It is important to mention here that overall the valence structure of the quarks dominates for the magnetic moments but, for the case of Σ^{*-} and Ξ^{*-}, the contribution of the orbital angular momentum of the quark sea is significant. One can also observe that for the contribution of the spin part, the orbital part contributes with the same sign as the valence quark distribution, while the sea part contributes with the opposite sign except for the case of Ξ^{*-} and Ξ^{*0}, where the sea and the orbital contributions have the same sign. For the orbital angular momentum part, the sea quarks contributes with the opposite sign as the valence quark distribution. This is true for all the cases.

For the low-lying spin $\frac{1}{2}^-$ baryons, so far there is no experimental information available for the magnetic moments but we can compare our findings with those obtained within other models. The comparison is especially important and interesting with the models based on hadron dynamics since the structure of some resonances in those models is claimed to be of dynamical origin (meson-baryon molecule like), which is very different to that in the quark models. In the present model the resonances have a three (valence) quark structure with one of the quarks excited to the p-wave and the consideration of the chiral symmetry takes into account the probability of the production of Goldstone bosons. Although the hadronic models are also based on similar grounds, i.e., the chiral symmetry and its spontaneous breaking, the structure of the baryon resonances in the two cases is different. In the hadronic model of Ref. [14], for example, the magnetic moments of the $n^*(1535)$ and $p^*(1535)$ was found to be $-0.25\mu_N$ and $+1.1\mu_N$, respectively. As can be seen, these results, although qualitatively similar, are quantitatively different from those listed in Tables I,II of the present work. Although, it should be mentioned that the simple one channel meson-baryon molecular picture of Ref. [13] yields $-0.56\mu_N$ and $+1.86\mu_N$ for the magnetic moments of $n^*(1535)$ and $p^*(1535)$, respectively, out of which at least μ_{p^*} is in better agreement with the quark model calculations. Ideally, the wave function of the baryon resonances should be treated as an admixture of different configurations, but it is possible that one of those configuration dominates. If any experimental information is made available on this issue, then a comparison of the magnetic moments found in quark models and hadronic models can shed light on the structure of the low-lying resonances.

We have compared our results with those of other quark models like the one of the CQM of Ref. [13] and the χCQM of Ref. [15] and we find a good agreement with the different corresponding results. We have also compared our results with those available from the Lattice QCD calculations of Ref. [16], where magnetic moments of the baryon resonances have been obtained from the mass shifts. The results of Ref. [16] are very different, even by sign in most cases, for example the magnetic moment for the p^* is found to be $-1.8\ \mu_N$ in contrast with $+1.8\ \mu_N$ found in the NCQM. However, it has been mentioned in Ref. [16] that the signal for $\frac{1}{2}^-$ excited baryons in their calculations needs to be improved, together with other corrections to be checked. Results of an improved calculations are most awaited and should help in understanding the properties of the baryon resonances in future.

Any experimental investigations on the magnetic moments of the $S_{11}(1535)$ resonance would be most welcome, since such an information on the orbitally excited nucleon resonances would come for the first time from an experiment. Although challenging, such investigations seem now feasible at ELSA and MAMI. These planned experiments will provide an interesting test for every baryon structure calculation, and give insight into the nature of the parity partner of the nucleon.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, in order to enlarge the scope of the chiral constituent quark model (χCQM), we have carried out a detailed analysis of the magnetic moments of the negative parity N^* resonances $S_{11}^+(1535)$, $S_{11}^0(1535)$, $S_{11}^+(1650)$, and $S_{11}^0(1650)$ and the other low-lying baryon resonances with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$. Using the generally accepted values of the quark masses and the parameters of the χCQM, the explicit contributions coming from the spin and the orbital angular momentum have been calculated. Our NCQM and χCQM results are very much in agreement with the recent theoretical investigations made within quark models. However, our results do not coincide well with those obtained in hadronic models based on chiral effective field theories. This is understandable since, although the picture of the presence of the meson cloud in the two approaches is similar, the structure of the baryon resonances are very different. The preliminary results available on the magnetic moments from lattice QCD [16] are also not compatible with our findings (and with the results available from other models [13–15]) but these preliminary lattice results are based on relatively poor statistics, as mentioned in Ref. [16]. Results from such studies with improved statistics will be very important to make more robust comparisons in future.

Any future experimental measurement as proposed in Refs. [13, 14, 22], would have important implications in understanding the complex structure of resonances.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the discussions with Prof. Jun He. N. S and H. D would like to thank DAE-BRNS (Ref No: 2010/37P/48/BRNS/1445) for the financial support. K. P. K and A. M. T acknowledge the financial support from the Brazilian funding agencies FAPESP and CNPq.

---

[1] A. Manohar and H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. B **234**, 189 (1984).

[2] F. X. Lee and C. Bennhold, Nucl. Phys. A **754**, 248 (2005).

[3] A. V. Anisovich, R. Beck, E. Klempt, V. A. Nikonov, A. V. Sarantsev and U. Thoma, Eur. Phys. J. A **48**, 15 (2012); H. Kamano, arXiv:1206.3374 [nucl-th].

[4] P. Rau, J. Steinheimer, S. Schramm and H. Stocker, Phys. Rev. C **85**, 025204 (2012).

[5] C. An and B. Saghai, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 045204 (2011).

[6] K. P. Khemchandani, A. Martinez Torres, H. Kaneko, H. Nagahiro and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 094018 (2011); E. J. Garzon and E. Oset, Eur. Phys. J. A **48**, 5 (2012).

[7] N. Matagne and F. .Stancu, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 056013 (2011); T. Hyodo, D. Jido and L. Roca, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 056010 (2008).

[8] Q. Zhao, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **186**, 253 (2010).

[9] B. -S. Zou, Nucl. Phys. A **827**, 333C (2009).

[10] A. Martinez Torres, K. P. Khemchandani and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 065207 (2009); A. Martinez Torres, K. P. Khemchandani and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 042203 (2008); K. P. Khemchandani, A. Martinez Torres and E. Oset, Eur. Phys. J. A **37**, 233 (2008).

[11] L. S. Geng, J. Martin Camalich, L. Alvarez-Ruso and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 222002 (2008); L. S. Geng, J. Martin Camalich and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Lett. B **676**, 63 (2009); L. S. Geng, J. Martin Camalich and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 034027 (2009).

[12] D. Jido, A. Hosaka, J.C. Nacher, E. Oset, and A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. C **66**, 025203 (2002); D. Jido, M. Doring and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 065207 (2008).

[13] W.T. Chiang, S.N. Yang, M. Vanderhaeghen, and D. Drechsel, Nucl. Phys. A **723**, 205 (2003).

[14] T. Hyodo, S.I. Nam, D. Jido, and, A. Hosaka, arXiv:nucl-th/0305023.

[15] J. Liu, J. He, and Y.B. Dong Phys. Rev. D **71**, 094004 (2005).

[16] F.X. Lee and A. Alexandru, PoS Lattice2010, **148**, (2010).

[17] K.T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 920 (1990); M. Gupta, J. Phys. G **16**, L213 (1990); M. A. Luty, J.M. Russell, and M. White, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 2332 (1995); K. Dannbom, L. Ya. Glozman, C. Helminen, and D.O. Riska, Nucl. Phys. A **616**, 555 (1997); P. Geiger and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 299 (1997); X. Song, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4114 (1998); L. Durand and P. Ha, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 013010 (1998).

[18] T.P. Cheng and L.F. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2789 (1998); H. Dahiya and M. Gupta, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 051501(R) (2002); **67**, 114015 (2003).

[19] K. Nakamura *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Journal of Physics G **37**, 075021 (2010).

[20] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Lett. B**74**, 353 (1978); Phys. Rev. D **18**, 4187 (1978); Phys. Rev. D**19**, 2653 (1979).

[21] C. DeTar and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 2805 (1989).

[22] D. Jido, M. Oka, and A. Hosaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. **106**, 873 (2001).

[23] O. Gayou *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 038202 (2001); Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 092301 (2002); V. Punjabi *et al.* (Jefferson Lab Hall A Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **71**, 055202 (2005); C.B. Crawford *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 052301 (2007).

[24] M. Kotulla *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 272001 (2002); M. Kotulla, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **61**, 147 (2008).

[25] S. Weinberg, Physica A **96**, 327 (1979); A. Manohar and H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. B **234**, 189 (1984).

[26] T.P. Cheng and L.F. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2872 (1995); **80**, 2789 (1998); Phys. Rev. D **57**, 344 (1998).

[27] J. Linde, T. Ohlsson, and H. Snellman, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 452 (1998); **57**, 5916 (1998); T. Ohlsson and H. Snellman, Eur. Phys. J. C **6**, 285 (1999); **12**, 271 (2000).

[28] H. Dahiya and M. Gupta, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 014013 (2001); Phys. Rev. D **66**, 051501(R) (2002); Phys. Rev. **D 67**, 074001 (2003); Int. Jol. Mod. Phys. A **19**, 5027 (2004); H. Dahiya, M. Gupta, and J.M.S. Rana, Int. Jol. Mod. Phys. A **21**, 4255 (2006).

[29] N. Sharma, H. Dahiya, P.K. Chatley, and M. Gupta, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 077503 (2009); N. Sharma, H. Dahiya, and P.K. Chatley, Eur. Phys. J. A **44**, 125 (2010); N. Sharma and H. Dahiya, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 114003 (2010); H. Dahiya and N. Sharma, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **26**, 279 (2011).

[30] N. Sharma, H. Dahiya, P.K. Chatley, and M. Gupta, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 073001 (2010).

[31] N. Kaiser, P.B. Siegel, and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. B **362**, 23 (1995).

[32] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Lett. B **72**, 109 (1977).

[33] A.J.G. Hey, P.J. Litchfield, and R.J. Cashmore, Nucl. Phys. B **95**, 516 (1975).